

GCT/TEC Construction Services Bid Tabulation

Center for Good & Exploration Center Buildout

Scored per RFP Selection Criteria Weight Table

Scoring Criterion (Weight)	HCS	Sullivan	S. Watts Group	Trimbuilt
Price (35)	0.00	0.00	18.73	35.00
Experience & Reputation (35)	35.00	26.00	13.00	11.00
Quality of Services (5)	5.00	5.00	3.00	2.00
Financial Capability (5)	5.00	5.00	0.00	5.00
Proposed Personnel (5)	5.00	4.00	2.00	4.00
Safety Record (5)	4.00	4.00	0.00	5.00
Ability to Complete on Time (5)	5.00	5.00	2.00	4.00
Warranty Performance (5)	3.00	4.00	2.00	5.00
TOTAL (of 100)	62.00	53.00	40.73	71.00

Price is scored on each vendor's combined total bid (Center for Good + Exploration Center), per the CSP and counsel's guidance. All criteria reflect committee scoring as of this draft.

Selection Criteria — RFP Language (Section 7)

- **Price – Proposed Price (35):** Proposers will receive an assigned share of the total available points for this criterion based on the total monetary value of the Proposal according to banded point categories shown in the "Table of Awarded Points." Percentage Above Low Bid = (your combination of fees and general conditions / lowest proposed combination of fees and general conditions), used to proportion the points awarded.
- **Proposer's Experience & Reputation (35):** The Owner will consider the proposer's experience and reputation and answers to the Questionnaire, including the record of past performance, general reputation, knowledge, reliability, character, integrity, skill, and stability; experience with the scopes of work for this Project, including in Travis County; experience with GCT/TEC; and reputation for handling warranty work. References (listed in the A305) will be reviewed and evaluated (5 of the 35 points allocated for references; 1 point per positive reference, up to a maximum of 5 points).
- **Quality of Proposer's Services (5):** Proposer demonstrates consistent and average past and current workload-to-staff ratio, showing ability to adequately staff the work and company stability; shows no or limited past history of claims, suits, and failure to perform; shows ability to maintain cost with no cost increases; and has a record of timely completion of work, compliance with laws, and warranty service.
- **Financial Capability Appropriate to Size and Scope (5):** Proposer's financial and technical resources will be evaluated. Proposer has a positive asset-to-liability ratio and adequate bonding capacity. Evaluation of the Proposer's responses to the Financial Information Questionnaire will be considered.
- **Proposer's Proposed Personnel (5):** Proposer's individual personnel proposed for the work demonstrate similar project experience, showing a high proportion of Travis County nonprofit/similar-entity work, experience with similar projects of comparable cost, complexity, and timeframe, and a clear organizational approach. Owner will review the Questionnaire answers and resumes in the A305 and other relevant information.
- **Proposer's Safety Record (5):** Owner will consider the safety record of the proposer in determining to whom to award a contract. Failure to provide all required and/or relevant information relating to the proposer's safety record will result in 0 points.

- **Proposer's Ability to Complete Project on Time (5):** Proposer's ability to complete the project on time; the proposer's proposed construction schedule meets or exceeds the Substantial Completion and Final Completion dates set forth herein.
- **Proposer's Performance in Responding to Warranty (5):** Proposer has a positive demonstrated performance in responding to warranty claims.